Augusto Colombo*, Jordi Frigola, Juan Parés and Blas Andaluz

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Laboratorios Dr. Esteve, Avda, Mare de Déu de Montserrat, 221, 08026-Barcelona, Spain Received January 3, 1989

The synthesis and structure elucidation of new pyrazolo[3,4-b][1,4]diazepines and pyrazolo[3,4-b][pyrazines are reported and the characterisation of isomers and tautomers by proton and carbon-13 nmr are discussed. In some case only NOE experiments allow us to identify the isomeric structure.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 26, 949 (1989).

1-Aryl-3-methyl-4,5-diaminopyrazoles are starting materials for two families of condensed heterocycles, the pyrazolo[3,4-b][1,4]diazepines and the pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyrazines. Both are related to molecules of biological interest, the first ones to [1,5]benzodiazepines [1], for instance, the antiepileptic drugs clobazam (la) and triflubazam (1b), and the second ones, to purines and the corresponding nucleosides [2,3].

Pyrazolo[3,4-b][1,4]diazepines.

1-Phenyl-3-methylpyrazolo[3,4-b][1,4]-diazepine-5,9diones 4 can be prepared from 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4,5-diaminopyrazole (2) and malonyl derivatives 3 (Scheme I). When malonyl dichloride (3a) in pyridine was used, 4e was obtained in good yield but the preparation of this compound by reaction of 2 with diethyl malonate (3c) in a variety of solvents like ethanol, acetonitrile, pyridine, toluene or xylene, results in recovery of 2. The addition of molar amounts of anhydrous sodium acetate or sodium methoxide do not result in the obtention of 4e. The 6,6-di-

n-butyl derivative 4d was obtained, in moderate yield, by reaction of 2 with the corresponding malonyl dichloride $(3a, R_1 = R_2 = n$ -butyl) in pyridine.

The preparation of 4f was attempted several times by reaction of 2 with the corresponding dichloride 3a ($R_1 =$ n-butyl, $R_2 = H$) in pyridine and by reaction of 2 with 3c (R₁ = n-butyl, R₂ = H), but these reactions failed. Finally, the reaction of 2 with bis(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-2-n-butyl malonate (3b) [4] in an oil bath at 230° results in the formation, with good yield, of 4f. Methylation of 4f with dimethyl sulfate in phase transfer conditions afforded the 4,9-dimethyl derivative 5 in poor yield.

Condensation of 2 with benzoyl acetates 6 yields pyrazolo[3,4-b][1,4]diazepinones (Scheme II). Two different isomers 7 and 8, can be obtained, each one with several tautomeric forms, e.g., 9 and 10, in the case of compound 7. There is a literature result [5] reporting that the reaction between 2 and ethyl acetoacetate yield only the isomer corresponding to structrue 7. In our case the reaction works differently depending on the substituents on the aryl groups, 6a-6d.

The reaction between 6a and 2 in xylene at reflux yield a 1:1 mixture of 7a and 8a in a 44%. The same mixture was obtained in a 33% yield working without solvent (15 minutes in an oil bath at 190°). The reaction between 6b and 2 yield only 7b both in xylene at reflux (54%) and without solvent (21%). A similar result, formation exclusively of 7d, was obtained with ester 6d, whereas 6c

Scheme I

H₂C
$$\stackrel{R_1}{\longrightarrow}$$
 $\stackrel{R_1}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{H_2}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{H_3}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{H_4}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{H_5}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{Me}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_1}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{H_5}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{Me}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_1}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{H_5}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{Me}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_1}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{H_5}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{Me}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_1}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_2}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_1}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_2}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_2}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_3}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_4}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_4}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_4}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{R_5}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{$

b) R=2,6di Me C,H,-Oc) B=OFt

d) R =R =n Buty f) R =n Butyl; R =H

Scheme II

Table 1

Compound	R_i	R_2	Yield %	MP		alysis		IR (cm ⁻¹)	¹ H-NMR (DMSO-d ₆)
					С	H	N	NH	C = O	
4 d	n-Bu	n-Bu	32	158	68.45 68.32	7.66 7.71	15.20 15.01	3240	1630	0.5-2 (m, 9H, But), 2.5 (s, 3H, Me), 2.6 (m, 1H, CH), 7.4 (m, 5H, Ar)
4e	Н	Н	80	> 290	60.69 60.57	5.09 4.89	21.78 21.93	3230	1690	2.1 (s, 3H, Me), 3.4 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 7.35 (m, 5H, Ar)
4f	Н	n-Bu	69	>290	65.16 64.98	6.75 6.87	17.88 17.63	3260	1690	not soluble enough
5	Н	n-Bu	7	> 290	67.04 67.17	7.10 7.19	16.46 16.60	-	1690	0.7-1.9 (m, 7H, But), 2.2 (m, 5H, Me), 2.9 (s, 3H, Me), 3.1 (s, 3H, Me), 3.4 (m, 1H, CH), 7.4 (m, 5H, Ar)

Table 2

Compound	Ar	Yield %	MP		•	sis % Found		IR (cm ⁻¹)	'H-NMR (DMSO-d ₆)
				С	H	N	X	NH	C = O	
7 a	Ph	44	239-240	72.13 72.34	5.10 4.90	17.71 18.00	-	3150	1685	2.36 (s, 3H, Me), 3.75 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 7.2-8.2 (m, 10H), 10.88 (s, 1H, NH)
8a	Ph	33	234-235	72.13 71.92		17.71 17.53	-	3150	1685	2.31 (s, 3H, Me), 3.73 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 7.2-8.2 (m, 10H), 10.67 (s, 1H, NH)
7 b	4-Cl-C ₆ H ₄	54-21	260-262	65.05 64.79	4.31 4.52	15.97 16.13	10.10	3220	1680	2.35 (s, 3H, Me), 3.75 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 7.20-8.20 (m, 9H, Ar), 11.15 (s, 1H, NH)
7 c	3-CF ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	41	196-198	62.50 62.83	3.93 4.19	14.57 14.50	14.83	3125	1695	3.37 (s, 3H, Me), 3.83 (s, 2H), 7.2-8.3 (m, 9H, Ar), 11.23 (s, 1H, NH)
7 d	3,4,5 tri MeO- C ₆ H ₂	2 5	216	65.02 65.19	5.45 5.22	13.78 13.61	_	3160	1680	2.36 (s, 3H, Me), 3.25 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 3.75 (s, 3H, Me), 3.9 (s, 6H, Me), 7.6 (m, 7H, Ar), 11.1 (s, 1H, NH)

yield (xylene at reflux) a 5:1 mixture of 7c and 8c.

Proton (Table 2) and carbon-13 nmr (Table 6) were used to determine the isomeric structure and the dominant tautomer. The presence of a CH₂ group (both in ¹H and ¹³C spectra) and the signal near 160 ppm (¹³C nmr) exclude tautomers 9 and 10, respectively.

To distinguish between 7 and 8 selective NOE difference experiments were performed. Weak irradiation of the NH singlet (11 ppm) would result in an increase of the

ortho-phenyl protons signal (7.1-7.5 ppm) in isomer 7 and in an increase of the 3-methyl signal (2.3-2.4 ppm) in isomer 8.

The mixture of **7a** and **8a** was resolved into its components by hplc. The only significant difference between the ¹H nmr spectra of **7a** and **8a** was the NH proton signal. The first eluted compound (NH = 10.67 ppm) shows an important enhancement of the methyl signal (2.31 ppm) on irradiation of the NH and therefore was assigned the

Table 3

Compound	Ar ₁	Ar ₂	Time	Solvent	Yield %	MP	C		sis % /Found N	x	IR (em ⁻¹)	¹H-NMR
12a	Ph	Ph	24	EtOH	91	194-196 [a]	79.53 79.70	5.00 4.89	15.46 15.52	-	1600	1350	2.7 (s, 3H, Me), 7.25 (m, 13H, Ar), 8.1 (m, 2H, Ar), deuteriochlo- roform
12b	3-Cl-C ₆ H₄	Ph	3	Toluene	61	207-208	72.63 72.81	4.32 4.19	14.12 14.29	8.93 9.01	1600	1540	3.05 (s, 3H, Me), 7.45-7.95 (m, 12H, Ar), 8.1-8.4 (m, 12H, Ar), DMSO-d ₆
12c	3-CF ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	Ph	12	EtOH Toluene	39	182-184	69.76 69.68	3.98 4.09	13.01 12.89	13.24 13.39	1620	1510	2.7 (s, 3H, Me), 7.3 (m, 12H, Ar), 8.6 (m, 2H, Ar), DMSO-d ₆
12d	Ph	4-Cl-C ₆ H₄	2	P.P.A	79	195-196	66.83 66.92	3.74 3.87	12.99 12.80	16.44 16.32	1600	1495	2.75 (s, 3H, Me), 7.25 (m, 12H, Ar), 8.25 (m, 2H, Ar), deuteriocho- roform

[a] Lit mp 183° [6].

Table 4

Compound	Ar	Ar ₂	Yield %	MP		•	Calcd./Found		IR (cm ⁻¹)		'H-NMR (DMSO-d ₆)
					C	Н	N	X			
15a	Ph	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	37%	203-205	67.40 67.52	4.08 3.87	17.46 17.63	11.05 11.18	1600	1515	2.78 (s, 3H, Me), 7.3-7.6 (m, 5H, Ar), 8.1-8.3 (m, 4H, Ar), 9.15 (s, 1H, C-H) (deuteriochloroform-TFA)
16a	Ph	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	37	135-138	67.40 67.36	4.08 4.14	11.46 11.21	11.05 10.87	1605	1415	3.29 (s, 3H, CH ₃), 7.3-7.7 (m, 5H, Ar), 8.2-8.4 (m, 4H, Ar), 9.33 (s, 1H, CH)
15c	3-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄	Ph	59	170-172	64.40 64.52	3.70 3.58	15.81 15.93	16.09 15.90	1620	1510	3.34 (s, 3H), 7.5-8 (m, 5H), 8.22 (m, 2H), 8.65 (s, 2H), 9.33 (s, 1H)
15d	3-ClC ₆ H ₄	Ph	43	199-201	67.40 67.54	4.08 3.89		11.05 11.00	1595	1470	2.91 (s, 3H), 7.4-7.8 (m, 5H), 8.2 (m, 4H), 9.3 (s, 1H)
16d	3-ClC ₆ H ₄	Ph	15	141-143	67.40 67.61	4.08 4.16	17.46 17.51	11.05 11.22	1600	1490	2.64 (s, 3H), 7.6 (m, 5H), 8.3 (m, 4H), 9.3 (s, 1H)

structure 8a. Similar NOE experiments on the second eluted compound show that on irradiation at 11.10 ppm (NH) only the aromatic protons (7.12 ppm) increase in intensity as expected for isomer 7a. The same technique was used to determine the structure of 7b and 7d. The mixture of isomers 7c (NH = 11.23 ppm) and 8c (NH = 10.80 ppm) were assigned by analogy; only isomer 7c was isolated pure.

Pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyrazines.

Pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyrazines are relatively unknown substances [6,7] whose pharmacological properties have never been studied. They can be prepared by condensation with 1,2-dicarbonyl compounds. As shown in Scheme III, the reaction of the 3-methyl-1-aryl-4,5-diaminopyrazoles with benzils in refluxing ethanol or toluene during several hours gives 1,5,6-triaryl-3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyrazine in excellent to moderate yields. In the case of 4,4'-diclorobenzil 12d we were obliged to use polyphosphoric acid to achieve the condensation.

Scheme III

The reaction between 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4,5-diaminopyrazole 2 and p-chlorophenylglyoxal 14b in ethanol gives, with good yield, a 1:1 mixture of the corresponding regioisomers 15a and 16a which were separated by crystallization and characterized by nmr and ir (Scheme IV). When 1-(3-trifluoromethyl)phenyl-3-methyl-4,5-diaminopyrazole 13c was reacted with phenylglyoxal 14a, also in refluxing ethanol, we only obtained the product of formula 15c in moderate yield, this being the result of reaction of the most reactive carbonyl group, the aldehyde, with the more reactive 4-amino group.

The reaction between the diaminopyrazole 2 and some phenylpyruvic acids 17a-d Scheme V, in refluxing ethanol gives, in moderate to good yields, insoluble products which proved to be difficult to distinguish between 18 and 20 or their tautomers 19 or 21 by routine spectroscopy and we have recorded ¹³C nmr spectra with the aim of establishing a spectral method for structural assignment of these ring systems. In view of the ir data of the products in potassium bromide we discarded formulas 19 and 21 due to the presence of an OH absorption and a lack of NH absorption.

The ¹H nmr spectrum of these compounds, in DMSO-d₆, showed a characteristic OH band and the ¹³C nmr spectrum of one of these products, in DMSO-d₆, showed no

Table 5

d) Ar = 3-CI-C_H

Compound	R	Yield %	MP	Analysis % Calcd./Found				IR (cm ⁻¹)		¹ H-NMR (DMSO-d ₆), Tautomer 20	
				С	Н	N	X	ОН	C = N		
21a	Ph	63	>300	75.98 75.73	5.37 5.22	18.65 18.83	-	2760	1660	2.4 (s, $3H$, Me), 4.15 (s, $2H$, CH_2), 7.3 (m, $8H$, Ar), 8.0 (d, $2H$, Ar), $J=8$ Hz, 12.5 (b, $1H$, OH)	
21b	4-ClC ₆ H₄	42	>300	68.16 68.01	4.51 4.60	16.73 16.82	10.59 10.63	2790	1660	2.4 (s, 3H, Me), 4.15 (s, 2H, Me), 7.35 (m, 7H, Ar), 8.0 (d, 2H, Ar), J = 7.9 Hz, 12.65 (b, 1H, OH)	
21c	3-ClC ₆ H ₄	48	>300	68.16 67.92	4.51 4.40	16.73 16.85	10.59 10.68	2780	1660	2.4 (s, 3H, Me), 4.2 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 7.4 (m, 7H, Ar), 8.0 (d, 2H, Ar), J = 9 Hz, 12.4 (b, 1H, OH)	
21d	2-ClC ₆ H ₄	36	>300	68.16 68.41	4.51 4.60	16.73 17.60	10.59 10.72	2720	1660	2.4 (s, 3H, Me), 4.3 (s, 2H, CH ₂), 7.5 (m, 7H, Ar), 7.9 (d, 1H, Ar), J = 6.6 Hz, 12.7 (b, 1H, OH)	

Scheme V

Compound	Me	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7a	10.8	144.5	123.2	151.7	40.6	161.6	127.4	137.9*	138.1*
8a	11.3	139.0	113.7	161.6	41.5	155.3	139.5*	137.1*	138.5
7 b	11.3	144.7	123.5	150.6	40.6	161.8	138.2	137.7	135.0
7c	11.3	144.9	123.4	150.2	40.6	161.8	138.9*	138.3*	
7 d	11.4	144.7	123.5	151.6	40.9	162.0	140.3	138.4	128.8

Table 7

Compound	Me	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
15a	11.0	141.0	122.8	132.5	139.8	157.7	146.3	136.6
16a	10.6	142.4	126.4	147.6	145.5	144.14	138.5	136.4
15c	11.08	141.3	119.2	133.4	137.8	156.4	146.1	133.4
15d	11.05	141.1	121.7	133.5	138.0	156.4	147.1	136.0
16d	Not registe	ered due to	its insolub	ility				

Table 8

Compound	Me	3	3a	5	6	7a	8	9
20a	9.0	134.7	115.1	166.5	156.7	137.2	133.7	40.6
20b	9.6	134.3	115.0	162.3	156.6	137.0		39.5
20c	9.4	134.9	115.1	164.0	156.7	137.3	134.3	39.8
20d	9.5	134.1	114.8	163.2	156.6	136.9	133.2	38.2

signals attributable to a carbonyl group. This suggests that in DMSO-d₆ the products will have structures 18 or 20.

The ¹³C nmr spectra of these sustances in TFAA/deuteriochloroform show an absorption near 165 ppm which is characteristic of a carbonyl group, thus, in these conditions, the most stable tautomers were 19 or 21.

To distinguish between 19a and 21a we performed a NOE transfer experiment in a dilute solution in DMSO-d₆ at 80°. Weak irradiation on the NH signal at 12.6 ppm results in an enhancement of signal at 2.3 ppm, which corresponds to a methyl group and we therefore can discard 19a. Although the assignments of ¹³C nmr were made in TFAA/deuteriochloroform, due to the very poor solubility of this compound, and thus would refer to a compound 21, the solids obtained from the reaction must have the structure 20.

The products were evaluated for analgesic, antiinflammatory, hypothermal, ataxic and CNS properties and although some of them were active in some tests, their potencies were not sufficient to consider them for further development.

EXPERIMENTAL

All melting points were determined on a Kofler melting point microscope and are uncorrected. The proton magnetic resonance spectra were obtained from Varian AM 360 (60 MHz) or from Bruker A.M. Fourier transform spectrometer operating at 100 MHz and the ¹³C nmr spectra were obtained from a Bruker AM-100 Fourier transform spectrometer operating at 25.1 MHz. Chemical shifts (δ) are relative to TMS as an internal standard. The infrared spectra were obtained in potassium bromide pellets on a Perkin-Elmer model 177 and the preparative hplc were performed on a Waters Auto 500.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Pyrazolo[3,4-b][1,4]diazepines 4d-f.

A solution of malonyl dichloride 3 (7 g, 27.6 mmoles) in pyridine (20 ml) was treated with 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4,5-diamino-pyrazole 2 (5.2 g, 27.6 mmoles) in portions during 30 minutes. After being stirred for 20 hours at room temperature, the solution was treated with water (200 ml), acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid and extracted with chloroform (3 x 30 ml), dried (sodium sulfate), the solvent evaporated and the residue recrystallized from ethanol/water. Analytical and spectral data are recorded in Table 1.

l-Phenyl-3,4,8-trimethyl-6-butyl-5,7-dioxo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-1H pyrazolo[3,4-b][1,4]diazepine (5).

A suspension of compound 4f (1.2 g, 3.8 mmoles), 50% sodium hydroxide in water (10 ml), benzene (70 ml), triethylbenzylammoniumchloride (TEBA, 50 mg) and dimethylsulfate (1.1 g, 8.5 mmoles) was kept under stirring at room temperature for 20 hours. The mixture was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 5 ml). The organic phases were combined, dried (sodium sulfate), and evaporated. The residue was recrystallized from carbon tetrachloride yielding 100 mg (7%) of 5, mp > 290°. Analytical and spectral data are recorded in Table 1.

1,5-Diphenyl-3-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-8*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*b*][1,4]-diazepine **7a** and 1,7-Diphenyl-3-methyl-5-oxo-5,6-dihydro-8*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*b*][1,4]diazepine **8a**.

A mixture of 2 (1.9 g, 10 mmoles), 6a (4.0 g, 20 mmoles) and xylene (100 ml) was refluxed and the falling xylene continuously passed through a soxhlet containing 40 g of 4Å molecular sieves, for 24 hours. After evaporation of the solvent the residue was crystallized from ethanol yielding 1,4 g (44%) of a compound, mp 203-214°, which was an 1:1 mixture (¹H nmr) of 7a and 8a. This mixture was separated by preparative hplc, eluting with a mixture of ethylacetate and petroleum ether (1:1) on a (Pre Pak 500) silica column and the first substance eluted was 7a mp 240° and the second 8a, mp 235°. Analytical and spectral data are recorded in Table 1.

By the above procedure using other ethyl-substituted benzoylacetates **6b-d** we obtained the compounds **7b-d**. They were purified by recrystallization from etanol. Analytical and spectral data are recorded in Table 2. General Procedure for the Preparation of 1,5,6-Triaryl-3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*b*]pyrazine 12a-c.

An equimolar (5 mmoles) mixture of the corresponding 1-aryl-3-methyl-4,5-diaminopyrazole 13 and the corresponding benzil 12 were refluxed in ethanol (20 ml) for 2 hours. The voluminous precipitate was collected and recrystallized from ethanol. Analytical and spectral data are recorded in Table 3.

1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5,6-di(4-chlorophenyl)-1*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*b*]-pyrazine **12d**.

A mixture of 2 (0.95 g, 5 mmoles) and 4,4'-dichlorobenzil 11d (1.4 g, 5 mmoles) with polyphosphoric acid (20 g) was heated at 130° on an oil bath, under a nitrogen current for 15 hours. The cold crude mixture was poured over ice-cold water. The solid was collected and recrystallized from acetone: water, yielding 1.7 g (79%) of 12d. Analytical and spectral data are recorded in Table 3.

1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-(4'-chlorophenyl)-1*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*b*]pyrazine **16a** and 1-phenyl-3-methyl-6-(4'-chlorophenyl)-1*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*b*]-pyrazine **15a**.

A mixture of 2 (1.9 g, 10 mmoles) and p-chlorphenylglioxal 14d in ethanol (20 ml) was refluxed for 30 minutes. The voluminous precipitate was collected and washed with ethanol. The crude product 2.7 g was recrystallized from ethanol (500 ml) yielding 1.2 g (37%) of product 15a. Compound 16a (1.2g, 37%) crystallized from the mother liquor.

By the same procedure we obtained product 15c and by the above procedure, but crystallizing from methanol, we obtained

and separated compounds 15d and 16d. Analytical and spectral data are recorded in Table 4 and 7.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-hydroxy-6-chlorobenzyl-1*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*b*]pyrazines **20a-d**.

A solution of 2 (1.1 g, 6 mmoles) and the corresponding phenylglioxal 17a-d (6 mmoles) in ethanol (10 ml) was warmed in a water bath for 5 minutes and the voluminous precipitate filtered off and washed with ethanol and acetone. Analytical and spectral data are recorded in Tables 5 and 8.

Acknowledgements.

We would like to thank Dr. F. Sanchez-Ferrando of the Facultad de Ciències, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona for helpful discusions.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- [1] L. M. Sternbach, Prog. Drug Res., 22, 229 (1978).
- [2] M. Terao, K. Karasawa, N. Tanaka, H. Hyonehara and H. Umegawa, J. Antibiotic. Ser. A., 13, 401 (1960).
- [3] R. A. Sharma, M. Robeck, F. E. Cole and A. Bloch, J. Med. Chem., 16, 643 (1973).
 - [4] E. Tiegler and H. Majem, Monatsh. Chem., 89, 143 (1958).
- [5] S. P. Affane-Nguema, J. P. Lavergne and Ph. Viallefont, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 14, 391 (1977).
- [6] I. Grandberg and G. V. Klyucho, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 32, 1898 (1962).
- [7] P. G. Baraldi, D. Simoni, V. Periotto, S. Manfredini and M. Guarnieri, Synthesis, 148 (1984).